1061871453

ORIGINAL

FILED
IN COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

JUN 17 2025

JOHN D. HADDEN
CLERK

AFFIDAVIT OF FLYNN ROBERT MARTIN

(In Support of Petition for Writ of Prohibition)

P R 2025 480

I, Flynn Robert Martin, being of lawful age, first duly sworn, depose and state under penalty of perjury pursuant to 12 O.S. § 426 as follows:

1. Identity and Capacity.

I am the defendant in Washington County District Court Case No. CM-2024-00301 (State v. Martin) and I appear pro se. All statements herein are based on my personal knowledge or on documents I have reviewed that are attached or referenced.

2. Case Overview.

In this case, the State originally charged me via Information (O.R. 5) with possession of amphetamine (captioned 'Amphetamine/Opioids' on the Information), a Schedule II controlled dangerous substance, under 63 O.S. § 2-402. On December 31, 2024, the State filed a First Amended Information (O.R. 24) correcting the alleged substance to tetrahydrocannabinolis.

A. Disqualification Timeline

3. In-Camera Request.

On May 3, 2025, I mailed—by U.S. Certified Mail—a sealed in-camera request for voluntary recusal addressed to the Hon. Jared Sigler pursuant to District Court Rule 15(a). The envelope was clearly marked "IN CAMERA REQUEST – NOT FOR PUBLIC FILING" and included: (i) the sealed in-camera request itself, (ii) a cover letter to the Clerk requesting confidential delivery to the judge, and (iii) a Certificate of Delivery attesting to the submission. USPS tracking

confirms that the envelope was received and signed for at the courthouse on May 8, 2025, by court staff member *Triniti Youngblood*. However, as of the date of this affidavit, the Certificate of Delivery has not been entered into the public record, and the docket contains no notation reflecting receipt or judicial action on the sealed request.

True and correct copies of the Clerk cover letter and Certificate of Delivery are attached collectively as **Exhibit A** (pages A-1 and A-2). A true copy of the USPS tracking receipt and delivery confirmation is attached as **Exhibit B** (pages B-1 and B-2).

4. Formal Rule 15 Motion.

Because no docket entry or response appeared, I prepared and—on May 14, 2025—filed a verified Motion to Disqualify the Hon. Judge Jared Sigler (O.R. 56-71). That filing consisted of the disqualification motion and a separate affidavit (O.R. 72-78) in support, both of which were served the same day on the Washington County District Attorney's Office and on Judge Sigler via the court clerk.

B. Post-Motion Proceedings

5. May 15, 2025 In-Chambers Proceeding.

At 9:30 a.m. on May 15, 2025,—the day after my Rule 15 motion was filed—the court convened in chambers. The minute entry lists the Hon. Jared Sigler, court reporter Dee Tanner, and ADA Veronica Threadgill present; a $20 reporter fee was assessed (O.R. 4). I did not appear because I understood the Rule 15(d) stay to be in effect. Judge Sigler telephoned the number on my pleadings, left a voicemail stating "we're on the record … just trying to call you so we could

do your recusal request," and then passed the matter to May 28, 2025 without ruling on the disqualification. A true and correct transcription of that voicemail is attached as **Exhibit C**.

6. Objection & Rule 15(d) Stay Notice.

On May 23, 2025, I filed a *Motion Objecting to Further Proceedings During Pendency of Disqualification Motion and Request for Immediate Ruling on the Papers* (O.R. 79-87). That filing: (a) stated that a stay under Rule 15(d) applied; (b) waived any oral hearing before the challenged judge; (c) demanded a written ruling on the papers; and (d) stated I would not appear unless and until the motion was overruled of record.

7. May 28, 2025 Hearing Without Reporter.

On May 28, 2025, the court called the case at 9:00 a.m.; I was absent pursuant to the stay. The minute entry (Docket Sheet, O.R. 4) reflects the presence of District Attorney William Drake ("for Threadgill") and bonds-person Tami Brinkman, but no court reporter was assigned. Judge Sigler passed the matter first to 1:30 p.m. that afternoon, then to May 29, 2025 at 10:30 a.m. The minute entry contains no ruling on the disqualification motion.

8. Brinkman Communications Provided Real-Time Information on May 28, 2025 Proceeding.

The minute entry dated May 15, 2025, (Docket Sheet, O.R. 4) and Judge Sigler's voicemail of the same date merely informed me that the matter was "passed to May 28 at 9:00 a.m." I received no further communication from the Court. Communications from bonds-person Tami Brinkman were my sole source of real-time updates on May 28, 2025.

A. Pre-hearing notice (between the May 15 and May 28 settings)

• **Exhibit D –** Voicemail (05/21/2025, 4:18 p.m. CDT): "You seem to have missed court … call me ASAP."

B. Real-time updates on May 28, 2025 – The Court never contacted me that day, created no transcript, and issued no minute entry until June 3, 2025. Instead, my bonds-person, Tami Brinkman, provided all real-time information, reaching out three separate times:

• **Exhibit E –** SMS (05/28/2025, 11:16 a.m. CDT): "You are supposed to be in court this morning … They have passed it to 1:30 … judge has been leaving you messages."

• **Exhibit F –** Voicemail (05/28/2025, 12:37 p.m. CDT): "We have a problem because you missed two dates … call me."

• **Exhibit G –** E-mail (05/28/2025, 3:09 p.m. CDT): "Judge will call you after court today and again at 10 a.m. tomorrow … get back to court."

C. Follow-up notice

• SMS (06/09/2025, 7:57 p.m. CDT): same image as **Exhibit E** — "I need to hear from you.""

The May 28 communications from my bonds-person were my only timely source of information about the May 28 hearing; the OSCN minute entries for May 28 and May 29 were not uploaded until the afternoon of June 3, 2025.

9. May 29, 2025 Hearing and Oral Bench-Warrant Request.

On May 29, 2025, the Court held a "status" hearing (Docket Sheet, O.R. 4) in my absence, while

my Rule 15 disqualification motion remained pending and unresolved. The certified minute entry reflects that ADA Veronica Threadgill appeared on behalf of the State and that court reporter Dee Tanner was present. The entry confirms that the State formally requested both a bench warrant and bond forfeiture and moved to deny the pending disqualification motion. Respondent "denied [those requests] at this time," but did not enter a written order on the disqualification issue.

Respondent also left me a voicemail that same morning (10:57 a.m. CDT, attached as **Exhibit H**), stating in substance that I was required to appear on June 24, 2025 "regarding your recusal motion." As of this date, no transcript of the May 29, 2025 hearing has been provided to me despite my repeated statutory and written requests.

10. No Ruling Entered on Disqualification Motion.

As of the date of signing this affidavit, the docket reflects no order ruling on, denying, or transferring my Rule 15 motion, and I have not been served with any such order. The disqualification request therefore remains pending under Rule 15(d). Nevertheless, the Court has set a substantive appearance for June 24, 2025.

11. Motion for Real-Time Court Reporting (April 21, 2025).

On April 21, 2025, I filed a *Motion to Assign Court Reporter, Preserve Record, and Provide Prompt Transcription of All Future Proceedings* (O.R. 50-52). The motion cited 20 O.S. § 106.4(A)–(B) and requested verbatim transcription of all future proceedings.

12. Indigency Application for State-Funded Transcript (May 23, 2025).

On May 23, 2025, I filed a sworn *Affidavit of Intent to Seek Review and Inability to Pay for Transcript*, along with an *Ex Parte Application for Ministerial Relief* (O.R. 93-94), as authorized

by 20 O.S. § 106.4(B). The Court has not ruled on that application. Of the four settings held after my request, only the May 15 and May 29 proceedings were stenographically recorded. The two settings held on May 28—where both District Attorney William Drake and Ms. Brinkman were present—were not recorded, even though a motion requesting real-time reporting was pending.

13. Written Transcript Demand to Court Reporter (June 4, 2025).

On June 4, 2025, I sent a written request by email to Court Reporter Dee Tanner at dddf972@aol.com, seeking certified transcripts of the May 15 and May 29, 2025 hearings. A copy of that email is attached as **Exhibit I**. To date, Ms. Tanner has not responded, and I have received no transcripts.

14. Discovery Still Withheld and Counsel File Not Produced.

On July 11, 2024, prior to the appointment of counsel, I filed a pro se *Motion for Discovery* (O.R. 14-16), formally requesting the State's evidence and all discoverable materials relevant to this prosecution. The Court has never ruled on this motion (see Docket Sheet, O.R. 1-4, which contains no ruling).

On August 5, 2024, the Court appointed Ms. Kristi Sanders of the OIDS to represent me in this matter via *Order Appointing Counsel For Criminal Defendant* (O.R. 23).

Ms. Sanders has never provided me any discovery related to my case that was provided to her by the Washington County District Attorney's Office.

On April 9, 2025, the Court granted my *Motion to Proceed Pro Se* (O.R. 39-41), which expressly requested that prior counsel be ordered to immediately produce the complete case file, all discovery received from the State, and any related correspondence. On April 12, 2025, I emailed

my former attorney, Ms. Kristi Sanders, reiterating my demand for the case file and all State disclosures made during her representation. A true copy of that correspondence is attached as **Exhibit J**.

When no response or materials were received, I filed a *Motion for Immediate Disclosure of Discovery and Discovery Log* (O.R. 53-55) on April 21, 2025, pursuant to 22 O.S. § 2002(A) and (D), seeking direct disclosure from the State and a detailed accounting of all prior discovery disclosures. As of the date of signing this affidavit, I have received no discovery materials from the State, no case file or correspondence from former counsel, and no discovery log indicating what, if anything, has been disclosed.

15. Pending Demurrer and Discovery Impasse.

On April 9, 2025, immediately following the hearing where I was granted pro se status, I filed a *Reply to State's Response to Motion to Dismiss* (O.R. 42-49) re-asserting a Motion to Dismiss under 22 O.S. § 504(4). That facial challenge to subject-matter jurisdiction has not been heard, as the disqualification motion remains pending. Meanwhile, my discovery impasse remains unresolved.

16. Timing of Post-Motion Settings.

Each attempted proceeding on May 15, May 28, and May 29, 2025 occurred after I filed my Rule 15 motion and before any record ruling on the motion's disposition.

17. Authentication of Device-Captured Exhibits.

Exhibits **C, D, E, F, G, H, I,** and **J** are true and accurate printouts or transcripts of voicemails, text messages, and e-mails that I personally captured from my mobile device on June 12, 2025. No content has been altered, and cropping was limited to removing irrelevant blank margins.

18. Verification.

I state under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Oklahoma that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 12 day of June, 2025, at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Oklahoma

Flynn Robert Martin, Affiant

Flynn Robert Martin
4413 E. Whitman Street
Tucson, Arizona 85711

April 29, 2025

Clerk of the District Court
Washington County Courthouse
420 S Johnstone Ave
Bartlesville, OK 74003

Re: Delivery of In Camera Request and Certificate of Delivery – Case No. CM-2024-00301

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed for delivery and filing in the above-referenced case are the following:

1. A sealed envelope labeled **"IN CAMERA REQUEST – NOT FOR PUBLIC FILING"**, containing an in camera request for voluntary recusal directed to the Honorable Judge Jared Sigler, submitted pursuant to Rule 15(a) of the Rules for District Courts of Oklahoma, 12 O.S. Ch. 2, App., Rule 15 (OK ST DIST CTS Rule 15).

2. A **Certificate of Delivery of In Camera Request**, which I respectfully request be file-stamped and entered into the public case docket.

Please ensure that the sealed in camera request is delivered directly to Judge Sigler without docketing or public disclosure, in accordance with the confidentiality requirement under Rule 15(a).

If there are any questions or if further clarification is needed, I may be contacted at the information provided below.

Thank you for your assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

Flynn Robert Martin, Pro Se
4413 E. Whitman Street
Tucson, Arizona 85711
(520) 869 - 2821
flickjones12@gmail.com

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
Plaintiff,
v.
FLYNN ROBERT MARTIN,
Defendant, Pro Se.

Case No. CM-2024-00301

CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY OF IN CAMERA REQUEST

I, Flynn Robert Martin, hereby certify that on April 28, 2025, I submitted an in camera written request for voluntary recusal to the Honorable Judge Jared Sigler, pursuant to Rule 15(a) of the Rules for District Courts of Oklahoma, 12 O.S. Ch. 2, App., Rule 15 (OK ST DIST CTS Rule 15), in the above-captioned matter.

The in camera request was enclosed in a sealed envelope clearly marked **"IN CAMERA REQUEST – NOT FOR PUBLIC FILING"**, and was delivered to the Court Clerk for confidential delivery directly to Judge Sigler.

Respectfully submitted,

Flynn Robert Martin, Pro Se
4413 E. Whitman Street
Tucson, Arizona 85711
(520) 869 - 2821
flickjones12@gmail.com

Dated: April 29, 2025

USPS TRACKING #

9590 9402 8350 3094 3378 74

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

United States Postal Service

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box•

Flynn Martin
4413 E. Whitman St
Tucson, AZ 85711

Exhibit B-1

1861B C042

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Washington County Court Clerk
420 S. Johnstone Ave
Bartlesville, OK 74003

9590 9402 8350 3094 3378 74

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

9589 0710 5270 1804 2789 51

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X [signature] ☒ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) Trinity Young C. Date of Delivery 5-8-23


D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type

- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over $500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053 Domestic Return Receipt

Exhibit B-2



(918) 337-2827

10:00 AM, 5/15/25

Hello, Mr. Martin, this is Judge Sigler. I'm just trying to get a hold of you. We're in chambers. Um, you're on the record or we're on the record. Miss D Tanner is uh, uh, recording this, um, and then we've got Ms. Threadgill here from the state. Ms. Swan is here, uh, from the clerk's office and myself. So we're just trying to call you so we could do your recusal request. Um, But you're not on the phone, so we will. Give you a new court date of May 28th at 9. For scheduling purposes, so you can call the court clerk's office or call my chamber's office at 918. 337-288-0 and then we can talk more about scheduling. So I hope you have a nice day, Mr. Martin. Thank you.






0:55




Exhibit C



Tami Bail Bonds

4:18 PM, 5/21/25

Hi Flynn, this is Tammy with Carlton Bell Bonds. Um, I'm your bondsman, and you seem to have missed court, so I need you to give me a call as soon as you can at 918-833-2245, um, uh, 918-833-2245. Um, 918-833-2245. I need you to call me ASAP. Thanks. Bye.





0:23



Exhibit D



Wednesday, May 28

Flynn, this is Tami with Carlson Bail Bonds. You are supposed to be in court this morning. You are not here. This is the 2nd date you have missed. They have passed it to 1:30 at this moment and I believe the judge has been leaving you messages. I need you to get in touch with me.


11:16 AM

Monday, June 9

I need to hear from you

7:57 PM





Tami Bail Bonds

12:37 PM, 5/28/25

Flynn, this is Tammy with Cross the Milbonds. I need you to give me a call back. We have a problem because you missed two dates. I need to talk to you. Um, Anthem, you assured me because I knew Anthem and Cynthia that you would not go anywhere on me. Um, so I need to talk to you. 918-833-2245. Bye.





0:20



Exhibit F

Bail Bond

1 message

carlsonbail <carlsonbail@gmail.com> Wed, May 28, 2025 at 3:09 PM
To: flickjones12@gmail.com

Hi Flynn,


You have missed court 3 times. The judge has been very patient. He is going to call you after court today and then again at 10 am tomorrow to set a time for you to appear in front of the court. I helped you because you knew Anthem and Cynthia. Please take his call and get back to court and get this case completed. This judge is a very good and kind judge. He has been very lenient with you.

Please get in touch with me.

Tami Carlson Brinkman
Carlson Bail Bonds
918-833-2245

Sent from my U.S. Cellular Smartphone

Exhibit G



(918) 337-2841

10:35 AM, 5/29/25

Mr. Martin, this is Jed Sigler. We're in court. Uh, you're on speaker phone and we're on the record, so I need to give you a date in which you to be in front of me, or at least the Washington County District court so that we can move your case forward. So we will see you on June 24th. At 9:00 a.m. and I'll be on the 2nd floor of the Washington County Courthouse. And we'll see you then so you can move forward with your recusal motion and the Fred of hearing if necessary. Thank you, Mr. Martin.



Exhibit H



0:39



Transcript Request – State v. Martin, CM-2024-00301 (Proceedings on 05/15/25 and 05/29/25)

1 message

Flynn Martin <flickjones12@gmail.com> Wed, Jun 4, 2025 at 1:28 PM
To: dddf972@aol.com

Dear Ms. Tanner,

I am writing in my capacity as the pro se defendant in *State of Oklahoma v. Martin*, Case No. CM-2024-00301, pending in the District Court of Washington County. Pursuant to 20 O.S. § 106.4(B), I respectfully request the preparation of certified transcripts for the proceedings held on the following dates:

- May 15, 2025
- May 29, 2025

These transcripts are necessary for inclusion in an impending filing before the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals.

On May 23, 2025, I filed an Affidavit of Intent to Seek Review and Inability to Pay for Transcript with the District Court, requesting that the costs of transcription be covered by the District Court Fund in accordance with the above-cited statute. A copy of that affidavit is attached here for your reference.

I respectfully request that the transcripts be prepared and delivered in digital (PDF) format, and that they be processed as expediently as your schedule permits, given the approaching filing deadlines.

Please confirm receipt of this request and advise if any further documentation or judicial order is required.

Respectfully,

Flynn Robert Martin
Defendant, Pro Se
(520) 869-2821
[redacted]
4413 E. Whitman St.
Tucson, Arizona 85711

Affidavit of Intent to Seek Review and Inability to Pay for Transcript.pdf
65 KB

Subject: Immediate Request for Complete Case File, Discovery Disclosure Logs, and Documentation of Communications – CM-2024-00301

1 message

Flynn Martin <flickjones12@gmail.com> Sat, Apr 12, 2025 at 6:19 PM
To: Lawyer Kristi Sanders <attnykksbv@aol.com>

Dear Ms. Sanders,

As you are aware, on April 9, 2025, the Washington County District Court formally granted my motion to proceed pro se, effectively concluding your representation in Case No. CM-2024-00301. Upon being discharged, I did not receive any discovery or other documentation relating to my case file from your office.

Between the hearings held on December 19, 2024, and January 10, 2025, I made multiple documented requests via email to your office for discovery materials. To date, I have still not formally received any discovery or other requested materials.

Additionally, according to the official court minutes from the March 4, 2025 hearing, you informed the Court and Assistant District Attorney Threadgill that due to illness you had not reviewed the State's response to my Motion to Dismiss and indicated your intention to discuss a possible resolution of the case directly with me. I have no record of being contacted by you via phone, email, or otherwise between the March 4 hearing and the April 9 hearing regarding any such resolution.

Given my current pro se status and my need to adequately prepare my defense for the upcoming hearing on May 15, 2025, I respectfully request that you immediately provide the following:

1. **My complete case file**, including all discovery received from the prosecution, OSBI lab reports, witness statements, police reports, and any other evidentiary documentation.

2. **All correspondence, communication logs, and records of disclosure between your office and the prosecution**, explicitly including documented dates of each element of discovery disclosure.

3. **Documentation and details regarding the possible resolution** referenced in open court on March 4, 2025, along with any communication attempts you made toward discussing this resolution with me.

4. **Copies of all motions, pleadings, briefs, notes, and filings** prepared by your office relating to this case.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request promptly and advise me as to the date by which I may expect to receive these critical materials. Digital delivery via email is preferred; however, physical delivery by mail to my address below is also acceptable.

Thank you for your immediate cooperation in this important matter.

Respectfully,

Flynn Robert Martin

Exhibit J

AFFIDAVIT OF FLYNN ROBERT MARTIN

(In Support of Petition for Writ of Prohibition)

I, Flynn Robert Martin, being of lawful age, first duly sworn, depose and state under penalty of perjury pursuant to 12 O.S. § 426 as follows:

1. Identity and Capacity.

I am the defendant in Washington County District Court Case No. CM-2024-00301 (State v. Martin) and I appear pro se. All statements herein are based on my personal knowledge or on documents I have reviewed that are attached or referenced.

2. Case Overview.

In this case, the State originally charged me via Information (O.R. 5) with possession of amphetamine (captioned 'Amphetamine/Opioids' on the Information), a Schedule II controlled dangerous substance, under 63 O.S. § 2-402. On December 31, 2024, the State filed a First Amended Information (O.R. 24) correcting the alleged substance to tetrahydrocannabinolis.

A. Disqualification Timeline

3. In-Camera Request.

On May 3, 2025, I mailed—by U.S. Certified Mail—a sealed in-camera request for voluntary recusal addressed to the Hon. Jared Sigler pursuant to District Court Rule 15(a). The envelope was clearly marked "IN CAMERA REQUEST – NOT FOR PUBLIC FILING" and included: (i) the sealed in-camera request itself, (ii) a cover letter to the Clerk requesting confidential delivery to the judge, and (iii) a Certificate of Delivery attesting to the submission. USPS tracking

confirms that the envelope was received and signed for at the courthouse on May 8, 2025, by court staff member *Triniti Youngblood*. However, as of the date of this affidavit, the Certificate of Delivery has not been entered into the public record, and the docket contains no notation reflecting receipt or judicial action on the sealed request.

True and correct copies of the Clerk cover letter and Certificate of Delivery are attached collectively as **Exhibit A** (pages A-1 and A-2). A true copy of the USPS tracking receipt and delivery confirmation is attached as **Exhibit B** (pages B-1 and B-2).

4. Formal Rule 15 Motion.

Because no docket entry or response appeared, I prepared and—on May 14, 2025—filed a verified Motion to Disqualify the Hon. Judge Jared Sigler (O.R. 56-71). That filing consisted of the disqualification motion and a separate affidavit (O.R. 72-78) in support, both of which were served the same day on the Washington County District Attorney's Office and on Judge Sigler via the court clerk.

B. Post-Motion Proceedings

5. May 15, 2025 In-Chambers Proceeding.

At 9:30 a.m. on May 15, 2025,—the day after my Rule 15 motion was filed—the court convened in chambers. The minute entry lists the Hon. Jared Sigler, court reporter Dee Tanner, and ADA Veronica Threadgill present; a $20 reporter fee was assessed (O.R. 4). I did not appear because I understood the Rule 15(d) stay to be in effect. Judge Sigler telephoned the number on my pleadings, left a voicemail stating "we're on the record … just trying to call you so we could

do your recusal request," and then passed the matter to May 28, 2025 without ruling on the disqualification. A true and correct transcription of that voicemail is attached as **Exhibit C**.

6. Objection & Rule 15(d) Stay Notice.

On May 23, 2025, I filed a *Motion Objecting to Further Proceedings During Pendency of Disqualification Motion and Request for Immediate Ruling on the Papers* (O.R. 79-87). That filing: (a) stated that a stay under Rule 15(d) applied; (b) waived any oral hearing before the challenged judge; (c) demanded a written ruling on the papers; and (d) stated I would not appear unless and until the motion was overruled of record.

7. May 28, 2025 Hearing Without Reporter.

On May 28, 2025, the court called the case at 9:00 a.m.; I was absent pursuant to the stay. The minute entry (Docket Sheet, O.R. 4) reflects the presence of District Attorney William Drake ("for Threadgill") and bonds-person Tami Brinkman, but no court reporter was assigned. Judge Sigler passed the matter first to 1:30 p.m. that afternoon, then to May 29, 2025 at 10:30 a.m. The minute entry contains no ruling on the disqualification motion.

8. Brinkman Communications Provided Real-Time Information on May 28, 2025 Proceeding.

The minute entry dated May 15, 2025, (Docket Sheet, O.R. 4) and Judge Sigler's voicemail of the same date merely informed me that the matter was "passed to May 28 at 9:00 a.m." I received no further communication from the Court. Communications from bonds-person Tami Brinkman were my sole source of real-time updates on May 28, 2025.

A. Pre-hearing notice (between the May 15 and May 28 settings)

• **Exhibit D –** Voicemail (05/21/2025, 4:18 p.m. CDT): "You seem to have missed court … call me ASAP."

B. Real-time updates on May 28, 2025 – The Court never contacted me that day, created no transcript, and issued no minute entry until June 3, 2025. Instead, my bonds-person, Tami Brinkman, provided all real-time information, reaching out three separate times:

• **Exhibit E –** SMS (05/28/2025, 11:16 a.m. CDT): "You are supposed to be in court this morning … They have passed it to 1:30 … judge has been leaving you messages."

• **Exhibit F –** Voicemail (05/28/2025, 12:37 p.m. CDT): "We have a problem because you missed two dates … call me."

• **Exhibit G –** E-mail (05/28/2025, 3:09 p.m. CDT): "Judge will call you after court today and again at 10 a.m. tomorrow … get back to court."

C. Follow-up notice

• SMS (06/09/2025, 7:57 p.m. CDT): same image as **Exhibit E** — "I need to hear from you."""

The May 28 communications from my bonds-person were my only timely source of information about the May 28 hearing; the OSCN minute entries for May 28 and May 29 were not uploaded until the afternoon of June 3, 2025.

9. May 29, 2025 Hearing and Oral Bench-Warrant Request.

On May 29, 2025, the Court held a "status" hearing (Docket Sheet, O.R. 4) in my absence, while

my Rule 15 disqualification motion remained pending and unresolved. The certified minute entry reflects that ADA Veronica Threadgill appeared on behalf of the State and that court reporter Dee Tanner was present. The entry confirms that the State formally requested both a bench warrant and bond forfeiture and moved to deny the pending disqualification motion. Respondent "denied [those requests] at this time," but did not enter a written order on the disqualification issue.

Respondent also left me a voicemail that same morning (10:57 a.m. CDT, attached as **Exhibit H**), stating in substance that I was required to appear on June 24, 2025 "regarding your recusal motion." As of this date, no transcript of the May 29, 2025 hearing has been provided to me despite my repeated statutory and written requests.

10. No Ruling Entered on Disqualification Motion.

As of the date of signing this affidavit, the docket reflects no order ruling on, denying, or transferring my Rule 15 motion, and I have not been served with any such order. The disqualification request therefore remains pending under Rule 15(d). Nevertheless, the Court has set a substantive appearance for June 24, 2025.

11. Motion for Real-Time Court Reporting (April 21, 2025).

On April 21, 2025, I filed a *Motion to Assign Court Reporter, Preserve Record, and Provide Prompt Transcription of All Future Proceedings* (O.R. 50-52). The motion cited 20 O.S. § 106.4(A)–(B) and requested verbatim transcription of all future proceedings.

12. Indigency Application for State-Funded Transcript (May 23, 2025).

On May 23, 2025, I filed a sworn *Affidavit of Intent to Seek Review and Inability to Pay for Transcript*, along with an *Ex Parte Application for Ministerial Relief* (O.R. 93-94), as authorized

by 20 O.S. § 106.4(B). The Court has not ruled on that application. Of the four settings held after my request, only the May 15 and May 29 proceedings were stenographically recorded. The two settings held on May 28—where both District Attorney William Drake and Ms. Brinkman were present—were not recorded, even though a motion requesting real-time reporting was pending.

13. Written Transcript Demand to Court Reporter (June 4, 2025).

On June 4, 2025, I sent a written request by email to Court Reporter Dee Tanner at dddf972@aol.com, seeking certified transcripts of the May 15 and May 29, 2025 hearings. A copy of that email is attached as **Exhibit I**. To date, Ms. Tanner has not responded, and I have received no transcripts.

14. Discovery Still Withheld and Counsel File Not Produced.

On July 11, 2024, prior to the appointment of counsel, I filed a pro se *Motion for Discovery* (O.R. 14-16), formally requesting the State's evidence and all discoverable materials relevant to this prosecution. The Court has never ruled on this motion (see Docket Sheet, O.R. 1-4, which contains no ruling).

On August 5, 2024, the Court appointed Ms. Kristi Sanders of the OIDS to represent me in this matter via *Order Appointing Counsel For Criminal Defendant* (O.R. 23).

Ms. Sanders has never provided me any discovery related to my case that was provided to her by the Washington County District Attorney's Office.

On April 9, 2025, the Court granted my *Motion to Proceed Pro Se* (O.R. 39-41), which expressly requested that prior counsel be ordered to immediately produce the complete case file, all discovery received from the State, and any related correspondence. On April 12, 2025, I emailed

my former attorney, Ms. Kristi Sanders, reiterating my demand for the case file and all State disclosures made during her representation. A true copy of that correspondence is attached as **Exhibit J**.

When no response or materials were received, I filed a *Motion for Immediate Disclosure of Discovery and Discovery Log* (O.R. 53-55) on April 21, 2025, pursuant to 22 O.S. § 2002(A) and (D), seeking direct disclosure from the State and a detailed accounting of all prior discovery disclosures. As of the date of signing this affidavit, I have received no discovery materials from the State, no case file or correspondence from former counsel, and no discovery log indicating what, if anything, has been disclosed.

15. Pending Demurrer and Discovery Impasse.

On April 9, 2025, immediately following the hearing where I was granted pro se status, I filed a *Reply to State's Response to Motion to Dismiss* (O.R. 42-49) re-asserting a Motion to Dismiss under 22 O.S. § 504(4). That facial challenge to subject-matter jurisdiction has not been heard, as the disqualification motion remains pending. Meanwhile, my discovery impasse remains unresolved.

16. Timing of Post-Motion Settings.

Each attempted proceeding on May 15, May 28, and May 29, 2025 occurred after I filed my Rule 15 motion and before any record ruling on the motion's disposition.

17. Authentication of Device-Captured Exhibits.

Exhibits **C, D, E, F, G, H, I,** and **J** are true and accurate printouts or transcripts of voicemails, text messages, and e-mails that I personally captured from my mobile device on June 12, 2025. No content has been altered, and cropping was limited to removing irrelevant blank margins.

18. Verification.

I state under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Oklahoma that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 17 day of June, 2025, at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma., Oklahoma

Flynn Robert Martin, Affiant